

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,224		12/16/2003	Steven Lance Steer	43153-9041-00	6328	
23409	75	90 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
		EST & FRIEDRICH	MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC			
		ISIN AVENUE , WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3654		
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	//				
		10/737,224	+	STEER, STEVEN	LANCE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	Y				
			M Marcelo	3654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is no	n-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	-	forcion ======	lon 25 11 0 0 0 440()	\ (d) ~~ (f)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some c)⊡ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/214,729.									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen			Λ. Π. I	(DTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/03. 6) U Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/737,224

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas, U.S. Patent No. 5,577,679.

Thomas shows a fishing indicator device including a sensor 270 for sensing retrieval speed of a fishing line 6 onto a fishing reel 1 to produce a signal indicative of the speed of retrieval of the fishing line (see column 3, lines 41-47). A processing means 230 receives the signal from the sensor, calculates the rate of travel of the line, and provides an output signal to display means 240 which displays information about the motion of the line such as amount of line out, rate of line release, direction of reel rotation, or any other pertinent information (see column 4, lines 50-55).

Thomas includes a fishing rod 4 on which the indicator device is mounted, as set forth in claim 2.

Thomas thus discloses all the features set forth in claims 29 and 31, except the limitation that display means 240 receives the output signal indicative of retrieval speed and displays said retrieval speed.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,224

Art Unit: 3654

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the output signal indicative of retrieval speed to display 240 of Thomas and to display or indicate the line retrieval speed, because Thomas clearly recognizes the rate at which a line is reeled in (retrieval speed) as an important (pertinent) parameter (see column 3, lines 46-47). Thus, Thomas would have suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art the displaying of retrieval speed, since one skilled in the art would consider retrieval speed to be "other pertinent information" as stated in column 4, lines 50-53.

Conclusion

This is a Continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/214,729. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

Art Unit: 3654

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-6597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3654

emm June 7, 2004